

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandran, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/696,954	10/29/2003	Neil Mllani	2002-019	8494	
54472 COATS & BE	7590 10/27/200 NNETT/SONY ERICS	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1400 CRESCE			DABNEY, PHYL	DABNEY, PHYLESHA LARVINIA	
SUITE 300 CARY, NC 27	518		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
0,		2614			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/27/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/696,954	MLLANI, NEIL		
Examiner	Art Unit		
PHYLESHA DABNEY	2614		

	PHYLESHA DABNEY	2614						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 08 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.						
. Material The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time								
periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.							
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		36(a) and the appropriat	e extension fee					
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailting date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	iled within two months	s of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS	in the time period out lotal in or	51 TC 4 1.07 (u).						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I			cause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		E below);						
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for</li> </ul>								
appeal; and/or		start states a						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11	21 See attached Notice of Non-Cor	nnliant Amendment (	PTOL-324)					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		inplicate / arionalitione (	TOL OLT,					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	rided below or appended.							
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	t before or on the date of filing a No	tice of Appeal will not	be entered					
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	try is below or attach-	ed.					
11. \( \bigcirc \) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  See Continuation Sheet.								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).								
13. Other:								
/CURTIS KUNTZ/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2614								

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

With respect to the Applicant's argument that the auxiliary system plug is integrally formed with the cord, the Examiner disagrees.

In Brief, the term "integral" means an array of individual elements and parts forming and working as a unit.

In the instance of Hsin, the auxiliary system connector (20 as related to 22; 10) forms a working unit with the cord (15) by creating a complete connection between the peripheral device and the wireless communication device. Without the cord the auxiliary system connection would not function to provide power to the wireless device, thus it is essential to the functionality in invention of Hsin. In addition, since Hsin teaches there is a first switch state (wherein the second peripheral device [70, 22-23] is off) and a second switch state (wherein the second peripheral device [70, 22-23] is on and the portable device [30] is on), then the Examiner contends that the selective witching between the wireless communication device and the peripheral accessory devices is met. Therefore the rejection is maintained.

In the instance of Sadler, the auxiliary system connector (52 and/or 100) forms a working unit with the cord (86, 92; line associated with data port; line associated with CD player) by creating a complete connection between the peripheral device and the wireless communication device. Without the cord the auxiliary system connection would not function to provide hands free functionality to the wireless device, thus it is assential to the functionality of the invention of Sadler. In addition as clearly shown in the figures, Sadler teaches the connector (52 and/or 100) positioned along the cord (86, 92; line associated with data port; line associated with CD player) between the system plug (56, 122) and a peripheral device.

Further still, the terms "integrally formed" are typically used to refer to molds, etc. For example, a plastic hook being molded to a body could be interpreted as a plastic hook is integrally formed with a body. However, the Applicant is referencing a system plug (electrically plug) as being integrally formed with the auxiliary system, at best, the electrically wiring within the cord sheath is attached in some manner, which would not include molding or the like, to a circuit board located inside the auxiliary connector. As shown above, the references supplied form an electrically attachment.

Therefore, the rejection is maintained.

If the Applicant contends that his invention is integrally formed, please proved drawings, schematics, etc., specifically pointing out how his system supports an integrally formed structure different from how electrically circuitry is typically attached.